COSMOLOGICAL PROBE COMBINATION FOR CURRENT & FUTURE SURVEYS

Andrina Nicola, Princeton University UC Berkeley, January 25th 2022

MAIN COLLABORATORS



Aizhan Akhmetzhanova - Harvard



David Alonso - Oxford



Roohi Dalal - Princeton



Shirley Ho - CCA



Mathew Madhavacheril - Perimeter



Anže Slosar - BNL





Jo Dunkley - Princeton



Boryana Hadzhiyska - Harvard





David Spergel - CCA/Princeton Paco Villaescusa-Navarro - Princeton/CCA

+ LSST-DESC LSS working group, Alexandre Refregier, Adam Amara



THE PILLARS OF THE ACDM COSMOLOGICAL MODEL

$\Lambda + DM + GR + INFLATION$





Our Dark Universe



"I say, there is no darkness but ignorance." — William Shakespeare, Twelfth night (IV.II)

4

COSMOLOGICAL PROBES



Image: Nicolle R. Fuller, National Science Foundation

Galaxy Clustering & Weak Lensing



Cosmological Observables



Images: Planck, Science, icons made by Freepik from www.flaticon.com



THE POTENTIAL OF JOINT ANALYSES

- Robust constraints on ACDM & extensions due to complementarity Consistency tests of cosmological model Constraints on astrophysical systematics, e.g. baryon
- feedback
- Systematics calibration & identification







Power Spectra



Nicola et al., 2017



ANEW ERA FOR OBSERVATIONAL COSMOLOGY

Past



e.g. SDSS, Planck

Present & Future



e.g. HSC, LSST/Rubin, ACT/SPT, CMB S4

Images: ACT, Ivezić et al., 2008



Our Non-Linear Universe



Additional information contained in: Cosmological fields at small spacial scales Non-Gaussian features

Image: Ilustris Collaboration / Illustris Simulation



GALAXY CLUSTERING





Images: 6dF, Science News

11

HSC PHOTOMETRIC CLUSTERING WITHIN LSST DESC

Apply/test LSST pipeline on LSST-like data set Test viability of tomographic Fourier space analysis for photometric clustering Try to maximize sample size, i.e. go beyond e.g. LRGs, redMaGiC Include small-scale information





THE HYPER SUPRIME CAM SURVEY (HSC) AS A PRECURSOR FOR LSST

HSC area: 1000 sq. deg. Deep ($r_{\rm lim} \sim 26$), good seeing Precursor to LSST Most analyses focused on 150 sq. deg. (DR1)





Survey table: HSC SSP, footprint: E. Medezinski



SAMPLE SELECTION

HSC DR1 data Galaxies with mag_i < 24.5 4 redshift bins: 0.15-0.50, 0.50-0.75, 0.75-1.00, 1.00-1.50 Photo-z: COSMOS reweighting





14

Systematics & Signal Maps







POWER SPECTRUM CONSISTENCY TESTS





THEORETICAL MODELING

Small-scale clustering (k_{max} ~ 1 Mpc⁻¹) Halo model (e.g. Seljak 2000, Peacock et al., 2000, Ma et al., 2000) Halo occupation distribution (e.g. Berlind & Weinberg, 2002, Zheng et al., 2005)



$$P_{gg}(z,k) = P_{gg,1h}(z,k) + P_{gg,2h}(z,k)$$
$$P_{gg,1h}(k) = \frac{1}{\bar{n}_g^2} \int dM \, \frac{dn}{dM} \bar{N}_c \left[\bar{N}_s^2 u_s^2(k) + 2\bar{N}_s u_s^2(k) \right]$$
$$P_{gg,2h}(k) = \left(\frac{1}{\bar{n}_g} \int dM \, \frac{dn}{dM} \, b_h(M) \, \bar{N}_c \left[1 + \bar{N}_s u_s(k) \right] \right)^2 P_{\text{lin}}(k)$$



HOD MODELING DETAILS

Redshift-dependent 6-parameter HOD model $N_a(M) = N_c(M)(f_c + N_s(M))$

Centrals: $\bar{N}_c(M) = \frac{1}{2} \left| 1 + \operatorname{erf} \left(\frac{\log M - \log M_{\min}(z)}{\sigma_{\ln M}} \right) \right|$ satellites: $\bar{N}_s(M) = \Theta(M - M_0(z)) \left(\frac{M - M_0(z)}{M_1(z)}\right)^{\alpha}$ where

$$\log M_i(z) = \mu_i + \mu_{i,p} \left(\frac{1}{1+z} - \frac{1}{1+z_p} \right), \ i \in [\min, 0, 1]$$

Fiducial model

Redshift-dependent 3(+3)-parameter HOD: $M_{\min}(z) M_0(z) M_1(z)$ Remaining HOD parameters fixed to $f_c = 1 \ \alpha = 1 \ \sigma_{\ln M} = 0.4$ Cosmological parameters fixed to Planck 2018 Photo-z uncertainties: p(z) shift Δz_i & width $z_{w,i}$



Power Spectra





HOD CONSTRAINTS



Nicola et al., 2020



HOD REDSHIFT EVOLUTION





Nicola et al., 2020



PROPERTIES OF GALAXY SAMPLE





GALAXY BIAS FOR MAGNITUDE-LIMITED SAMPLES



 $b(z, m_{\lim}) =$



$$= \overline{b}(m_{\lim})D(z)^{\alpha}$$

Nicola et al., 2020



SMALL-SCALE POWER SPECTRUM





Hadzhiyska et al., 2021



SMALL-SCALE POWER SPECTRUM - ONGOING WORK

GALAXY CLUSTERING WITH HSC DR3



Dalal et al., in prep.



LSST DESC BIAS CHALLENGE







WEAK GRAVITATIONAL LENSING







Images: NASA/ESA, radioGREAT, NASA/STScl



ACCESSING SMALL-SCALE INFORMATION WITH WEAK LENSING



Images: S. Skillman, Y-Y. Mao, KIPAC/SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Huang et al., 2019



CONSTRAINING COSMOLOGY & BARYON PHYSICS FROM 2PT-FUNCTIONS

X-Ray



тSZ



FRBs





Images: eROSITA, Madhavacheril et al., 2020, Danielle Futselaar/artsource.nl



SIMULATIONS

ILLUSTRISTNG



CAMELS: 2000+ simulations of V = $(25 h^{-1} Mpc)^3$, run for IllustrisTNG/SIMBA



SIMBA



Nelson et al., 2019, Davé et al., 2019, Villaescusa-Navarro et al., 2021





IllustrisTNG



SIMBA

Weinberger et al., 2017, Pillepich et al., 2018, Davé et al., 2019



BARYON FRACTION AS PREDICTOR OF FEEDBACK

$$\bar{f}_{\text{bar}} = \frac{1}{N_h} \sum_{i}^{N_h} \frac{M_{\text{bar},h,i}}{M_{\text{tot},h,i}}, \ 10$$



Averaged relative accuracy: $\langle \delta P/P \rangle \sim 25\%$



 $M^{12} < M_{\text{tot},h,i} < 10^{13} h^{-1} M_{\odot}$



van Daalen et al., 2020



Forecasting Constraints

FORECASTED ERRORS FROM ILLUSTRISTNG



Forecasts for $P_{ee}(k), z = 0, k_{max} = 10 \ h \ Mpc^{-1}$



Nicola et al., 2022



ROBUSTNESS OF CONSTRAINTS TO SUBGRID PHYSICS

Systematic Uncertainties from Testing on SIMBA



Bias on recovered values not significant relative to error bars



Nicola et al., 2022



RUBIN/LSST



Cerro Pachón, March 2nd 2021



10 year optical survey of 20'000 sq. deg. First data expected in 2023 Deep, will image ~10⁹s of galaxies Main observables: weak lensing & clustering



MOVING BEYOND TRADITIONAL METHODS





Image: S. Skillman, Y-Y. Mao, KIPAC/SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory



SIMULATION-BASED INFERENCE













Akhmetzhanova et al., in prep.



Alsing et al., 2019, Tejero-Cantero et al., 2020

 $p(D_*|\boldsymbol{\theta})$



THE COSMOLOGICAL DATA REVOLUTION





2026 2027 2028 2029 CMB-S4 Next Generation CMB Experiment

Images: DESI, Euclid, SO, Rubin/LSST, Roman, CMB S4



TESTING PILLARS OF ACDM WITH FUTURE SURVEYS







LSST DESC SRD, 2018



SUMMARY

Combined probe analyses essential to constrain cosmology Break parameter degeneracies Robust test of cosmological model Identification, understanding and calibration of systematics

Future surveys will deliver high-precision data Significant information in small-scales, non-Gaussian features Limited by systematics

Two approaches

Extend traditional analysis methods Develop novel analysis methods based on joint forward-modeling and simulation-based inference





