galaxy populations in sdss clusters

sarah m. hansen university of chicago

with erin s. sheldon (nyu) risa h. wechsler (stanford) & the maxBCG group

november 13, 2007

outline

- motivation
- sdss maxBCG cluster sample
- characterizing the galaxy population
- luminosity function: dependence on cluster mass, galaxy color
- red fraction: dependence on cluster mass, redshift, galaxy luminosity & cluster-centric radius
- BCG population: comparison with satellites
- mass-to-light: dependence on mass and radius
- future



motivation



clusters as cosmological probes:

 $\frac{dN(>M)}{dz} \longrightarrow W$

- how to connect dark & luminous matter?
- how to connect stars & gas?



motivation



clusters as galaxy evolution laboratories why such a particular cluster galaxy population?



Hubble, Realm of the Nebulae, 1936

for upcoming large surveys, must understand these details better!

sarah m. hansen

- > 7300 deg² of *ugriz* photometric data
- MaxBCG cluster finding algorithm
 - red sequence matched filter (koester et al. 2007a,b)
 - 0.1 ≤ z ≤ 0.3; ∆z ~ 0.01
 - mass proxy: N₂₀₀



- > 7300 deg² of *ugriz* photometric data
- MaxBCG cluster finding algorithm
 - red sequence matched filter (koester et al. 2007a,b)
 - $0.1 \le z \le 0.3; \Delta z \sim 0.01$
 - mass proxy: N₂₀₀

count red galaxies within 1 Mpc (= N_{1Mpc}) of likely BCGs



- > 7300 deg² of *ugriz* photometric data
- MaxBCG cluster finding algorithm
 - red sequence matched filter (koester et al. 2007a,b)
 - $0.1 \le z \le 0.3; \Delta z \sim 0.01$
 - mass proxy: N₂₀₀

use galaxy distribution to estimate r_{200} vs N_{1Mpc} (hansen et al. 2005)



- > 7300 deg² of *ugriz* photometric data
- MaxBCG cluster finding algorithm
 - red sequence matched filter (koester et al. 2007a,b)
 - $0.1 \le z \le 0.3; \Delta z \sim 0.01$
 - mass proxy: N₂₀₀

 N_{200} = number of red galaxies within estimated r_{200} , then re-measure r_{200} vs N_{200}





extensive testing to quantify selection function



13,823 systems with $N_{200} \ge 10$ and $0.1 \le z \le 0.3$ (165,597 with $N_{200} \ge 3$)







correlation function yields excess-over-random = cluster-associated galaxies

berkeley, nov 13, 2007

sarah m. hansen





testing

ADDGALS (wechsler et al. 2007) hubble volume + galaxies with L > 0.4L*

- matches global luminosity function in SDSS
- matches global color-density relationship in SDSS

background-corrected, deprojected reconstruction matches well with intrinsic 3D halo galaxy population



method, summarized



cross-correlation-based background correction provides K-corrected, deprojected distribution of galaxies associated with clusters, binned by cluster & galaxy properties

cluster properties	galaxy properties
richness / mass	BCG or not?
total luminosity	radial distance
redshift	luminosity
BCG properties	color

- luminosity function as *f* (richness, color)
- red fraction as *f* (richness, redshift, radius, luminosity)
 BCG luminosity

splits



BCGs vs satellites





satellite luminosity function $(r \le r_{200})$



 $\bullet \bullet \bullet$



satellite luminosity function $(r \le r_{200})$



 $\bullet \bullet \bullet$





changes are primarily in sub-L* galaxies, providing constraints on processes influencing galaxies as they fall into a cluster





changes are primarily in sub-L* galaxies, providing constraints on processes influencing galaxies as they fall into a cluster



red fraction dependencies



radial trend



sarah m. hansen

red fraction of satellites brighter than $^{0.25}M_i = -19$ increases by 5% from z=0.28 to z=0.2 providing constraints on physics & timescale of galaxy evolution in clusters

red fraction within r₂₀₀



comparison with other results



butcher & oemler 1984 gerke et al. 2007 (DEEP2 groups) 1.0 field 0.4 0.8 0.3 0.6 •^m0.2 $f_{\rm B}$ 0.4 0.1 0.2 00 0.0 02 0.0 01 0.3 0,4 0.5 -22.0-22.5-21.5-21.0Ζ $M_B - 5 \log h + Q(z-1)$

sarah m. hansen

comparison with modeling

sarah m. hansen





BCG light



as a function of cluster mass



BCG light



BCGs contribute less of the total light but are brighter (absolutely & relative to satellites) in more massive systems



what else?



compare with high-z and/or spectroscopic samples

SZA + SZAOptical

• first cross-correlation of optical and SZ signals in an SZ survey

SPT + BCS & SPT + DES

- improve mass-observable calibration (\rightarrow cosmology)
- compare with SDSS (→ galaxy evolution)

LSST, Pan-STARRS, others.....

Summary

sdss data allows detailed investigation of the population of galaxies in clusters, including



- conditional luminosity function
- red fraction
- BCG light
- combined with lensing, M/L profiles

this local-universe information constrains models of galaxy evolution in the cluster environment; techniques used here are applicable to any large photometric survey

see hansen et al., arXiv:0710.3780