Large scale structure formation in theories with scale dependent linear growth

Alejandro Aviles (ICF, UNAM)

October 24, 2023

Department of Astronomy, UC-Berkeley

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Lagrangian framework
- 3. From Lagrangian to Eulerian Perturbation Theory
- 4. fk kernels
- 5. Constraints from BOSS and eBOSS data
- 6. Wiggles vs Broadband

1. Motivation

Motivation: Neutrino Dark Matter

Massive neutrinos become non-relativistic at intermediate times, but their velocity dispersion is still large nowadays:

$$\sigma_{\nu}(z) \simeq 3.6 \frac{T_{\nu}}{m_{\nu}} \simeq 1805(1+z) \left(\frac{0.1\,\mathrm{eV}}{m_{\nu}}\right)\,\mathrm{km/s}$$



Schematically: $\ddot{\delta} + 2H\dot{\delta} + (c_s^2k^2 - 4\pi G\bar{\rho}a^2)\delta = 0$

$$k_{\rm FS} = \left[\frac{4\pi G\bar{\rho}a^2}{\frac{5}{9}\sigma_{\nu}^2}\right]^{1/2} \simeq 0.0908 \frac{H(z)/H_0}{(1+z)^2} \left(\frac{m_{\nu}}{0.1\,{\rm eV}}\right) \,h\,{\rm Mpc}^{-1}$$

Matter power spectrum with massive neutrinos



Motivation: Modified gravity

Matter power spectrum in Modified Gravity (MG)



2. Lagrangian framework

with Arka Banerjee [2007.06508],

Lagrangian Perturbation Theory

We follow the trajectories of CDM particles

$$\boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{q},t) = \boldsymbol{q} + \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\boldsymbol{q},t).$$

Geodesic equation:

N

$$\hat{\mathcal{T}} \Psi(\boldsymbol{q},t) \equiv \left(\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + 2H\frac{d}{dt}\right) \Psi(\boldsymbol{q},t) = \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mathbf{x}} \Phi(\boldsymbol{x},t) \Big|_{\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{\Psi}}$$

Modified Poisson equation:

$$\frac{1}{a^2} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}^2 \Phi(\mathbf{x}, t) \Big|_{\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{q} + \Psi} = 4\pi G \bar{\rho}_m \delta(\mathbf{x}, t) + S(\mathbf{x}, t)$$

Neutrinos :
$$\delta = f_{cb}\delta_{cb}$$
, $S = 4\pi G\bar{\rho}_m f_\nu \delta_\nu$, $f_\nu = \frac{\Omega_\nu}{\Omega_m}$, $f_{cb} = \frac{\Omega_{cb}}{\Omega_m}$
Modified Gravity : $\delta = \delta_m$, $S = -\frac{1}{2a^2}\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}^2 \phi_{MG}$. e.g. DGP, f(R),..., Horndeski

Massive neutrinos

$$-\frac{k^2}{a^2}\Phi(\mathbf{k}) = 4\pi G\bar{\rho}_m(f_{cb}\delta_{cb}(\mathbf{k}) + f_\nu\delta_\nu(\mathbf{k})) = A(k,t)\delta_{cb}(\mathbf{k})$$
$$A(k,t) \equiv 4\pi G\bar{\rho}_m(f_{cb} + f_\nu\frac{\delta_\nu}{\delta_{cb}}) \approx \frac{3\Omega_m H^2}{2}(f_{cb} + f_\nu\frac{T_\nu(k,t)}{T_{cb}(k,t)})$$



Modified Gravity

$$-\frac{k^2}{a^2}\Phi(\mathbf{k}) = 4\pi G\bar{\rho}_m \delta_m(\mathbf{k}) + S(\mathbf{k}, t)$$
$$= A(k, t)\delta_m(\mathbf{k}) + \text{Non-linear}$$



The equation of motion becomes

$$\left[\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mathbf{x}}\cdot\hat{\mathcal{T}}\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\boldsymbol{q})\right](\boldsymbol{k}) = -4\pi G\bar{\rho}_{m}\tilde{\delta}(\boldsymbol{k}) - \tilde{S}(\boldsymbol{k}).$$

 $[(\cdots)](\mathbf{k})$ indicates the Fourier transform of $(\cdots)(\mathbf{q})$: $\int d^3q \, e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{q}}(\cdots)$

A tilde means the q-Fourier Transform of a function that takes arguments in Eulerian space:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{S}(\mathbf{k}) &\equiv \int d^3 q \, e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot q} \, S(\mathbf{x}) = \int d^3 q \, e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot q} S(\mathbf{q} + \Psi) \\ &= \int d^3 q \, e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot q} \Big(S(\mathbf{q}) + \Psi_i(\mathbf{q}) S_{,i}(\mathbf{q}) + \frac{1}{2} \Psi_i(\mathbf{q}) \Psi_j(\mathbf{q}) S_{,ij}(\mathbf{q}) + \cdots \Big) \\ &= S(\mathbf{k}) + \int_{\mathbf{k}_{12} = \mathbf{k}} i k_1^i S(\mathbf{k}_1) \Psi_i(\mathbf{k}_2) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{k}_{123} = \mathbf{k}} k_1^i k_1^j S(\mathbf{k}_1) \Psi_i(\mathbf{k}_2) \Psi_j(\mathbf{k}_3) + \cdots \end{split}$$

Notation:
$$\int_{k_{1...n}=k} = \int \frac{d^{3}k_{1}\cdots d^{3}k_{n}}{(2\pi)^{3n}} (2\pi)^{3} \delta_{\mathrm{D}}(k_{1}+\cdots+k_{n}-k)$$

Standard Lagrangian approach in vanilla Λ CDM: $\left[\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \hat{\mathcal{T}} \Psi(\mathbf{q})\right](\mathbf{k}) = -4\pi G \bar{\rho}_m \tilde{\delta}(\mathbf{k})$

$$J_{ij}(\boldsymbol{q},t) = \frac{\partial x^{i}(\boldsymbol{q},t)}{\partial q^{j}} = \delta_{ij} + \Psi_{i,j}, \qquad J(\boldsymbol{q},t) = \det J_{ij}$$

•
$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \hat{\mathcal{T}} \Psi(\mathbf{q}) = J_{ij}^{-1} \nabla_j \hat{\mathcal{T}} \Psi_i(\mathbf{q}) = \hat{\mathcal{T}} \Psi_{i,i} - \Psi_{i,j} \hat{\mathcal{T}} \Psi_{j,i} + \Psi_{i,k} \Psi_{k,j} \hat{\mathcal{T}} \Psi_{i,j}$$

• matter conservation, $(1 + \delta(\boldsymbol{x}))d^3x = (1 + \delta(\boldsymbol{q}))d^3q$, implies

$$\tilde{\delta}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \left\lfloor \frac{1 - J(\boldsymbol{q})}{J(\boldsymbol{q})} \right\rfloor(\boldsymbol{k}) = -\left[\Psi_{i,i} - \frac{1}{2} \left((\Psi_{i,i})^2 + \Psi_{i,j} \Psi_{j,i} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\Psi^3) \right](\boldsymbol{k})$$

$$\left[\hat{\mathcal{T}} - 4\pi G \bar{\rho}_m\right] \Psi_{i,i}(\boldsymbol{q},t) + \dots = 0$$

 $\Psi_i(\boldsymbol{k},t) = i \frac{k_i}{k^2} \delta(\boldsymbol{k},t) + \dots$

With additional scales, the equation of motion becomes

$$\left[\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mathbf{x}}\cdot\hat{\mathcal{T}}\Psi(\boldsymbol{q})\right](\boldsymbol{k}) = -4\pi G\bar{\rho}_m\tilde{\delta}(\boldsymbol{k}) - \tilde{S}(\boldsymbol{k}) = -A(k)\tilde{\delta}(\boldsymbol{k}) + \text{FL}$$

FL (Frame lagging) appears because one changes from q-FT to x-FT

Up to third order:

$$\begin{split} & \left(\hat{\mathcal{T}} - A(k)\right) [\Psi_{i,i}](\boldsymbol{k}) = [\Psi_{i,j}\hat{\mathcal{T}}\Psi_{j,i}](\boldsymbol{k}) - \frac{A(k)}{2} [\Psi_{i,j}\Psi_{j,i}](\boldsymbol{k}) - \frac{A(k)}{2} [(\Psi_{l,l})^2](\boldsymbol{k}) \\ & - [\Psi_{i,k}\Psi_{k,j}\hat{\mathcal{T}}\Psi_{j,i}](\boldsymbol{k}) + \frac{A(k)}{6} [(\Psi_{l,l})^3](\boldsymbol{k}) + \frac{A(k)}{2} [\Psi_{l,l}\Psi_{i,j}\Psi_{j,i}](\boldsymbol{k}) + \frac{A(k)}{3} [\Psi_{i,k}\Psi_{k,j}\Psi_{j,i}](\boldsymbol{k}) \\ & - \int_{\boldsymbol{k}_{12}=\boldsymbol{k}} \mathcal{K}_{ki}^{\text{FL}}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2)\Psi_k(\boldsymbol{k}_1)\Psi_i(\boldsymbol{k}_2) - \int_{\boldsymbol{k}_{123}=\boldsymbol{k}} \mathcal{K}_{kij}^{\text{FL}}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2, \boldsymbol{k}_3)\Psi_k(\boldsymbol{k}_1)\Psi_i(\boldsymbol{k}_2)\Psi_j(\boldsymbol{k}_3) \end{split}$$

Expand $\Psi = \Psi^{(1)} + \Psi^{(2)} + \Psi^{(3)} + \cdots$. To *n*-th order

$$\Psi_i^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{k},t) = \frac{i}{n!} \int_{\boldsymbol{k}_1 \dots n = \boldsymbol{k}} L_i^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{k}_n; t) \delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, t) \cdots \delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_n, t)$$

To linear order

$$\Psi_i^{(1)}(\mathbf{k},t) = i \frac{k_i}{k^2} \delta^{(1)}(\mathbf{k},t), \quad \text{with} \quad \delta^{(1)}(\mathbf{k},t) = D_+(k,t) \delta^{(1)}(\mathbf{k},t_0)$$

and the scale-dependent linear growth function D_+ is the growing solution to

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + 2H\frac{d}{dt} - \frac{3}{2}\Omega_m H^2 (1 + \alpha(k))\right] D_+(k,t) = \left[\hat{\mathcal{T}} - A(k)\right] D_+(k,t) = 0$$

The second order kernel becomes

$$L_i^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2, t) = \frac{3}{7} \frac{k_i}{k^2} \left(\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2, t) - \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2, t) \frac{(\boldsymbol{k}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{k}_2)^2}{k_1^2 k_2^2} \right),$$

with

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, t) = \frac{7}{3} \frac{D_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, t)}{D_+(k_1)D_+(k_2)}, \qquad \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, t) = \frac{7}{3} \frac{D_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, t)}{D_+(k_1)D_+(k_2)}$$

with $D_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}$ given by the differential equations

$$\begin{split} & \left[\hat{\mathcal{T}} - A(k)\right] D_{\mathcal{A}} = \left(A(k) + (A(k) - A(k_2))\frac{\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2}{k_1^2} + (A(k) - A(k_1))\frac{\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2}{k_2^2}\right) D_+(k_1)D_+(k_2), \\ & \left[\hat{\mathcal{T}} - A(k)\right] D_{\mathcal{B}} = \left(A(k_1) + A(k_2) - A(k)\right) D_+(k_1)D_+(k_2). \end{split}$$

For Λ CDM, these reduce to

$$D_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}^{(2)\Lambda\text{CDM}}(t) = \frac{3}{7}D_{+}^{2}(t) + \frac{4}{7}\left(\hat{\mathcal{T}} - \frac{3}{2}\Omega_{m}H^{2}\right)^{-1} \left[\frac{3}{2}\Omega_{m}H^{2}\left(1 - \frac{f^{2}}{\Omega_{m}}\right)\right],$$

such that $\mathcal{A}^{\Lambda\mathrm{CDM}}(t) = \mathcal{B}^{\Lambda\mathrm{CDM}}(t) pprox 1.01$ are only time dependent and close to unity.

The third order kernel becomes

$$\begin{split} L_i^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) &= \frac{k^i}{k^2} \Biggl\{ \frac{5}{7} \left(\mathcal{A}^{(3)} - \mathcal{B}^{(3)} \frac{(\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_3)^2}{k_2^2 k_2^3} \right) \left(1 - \frac{(\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_{23})^2}{k_1^2 k_{23}^2} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{3} \left(\mathcal{C}^{(3)} - 3\mathcal{D}^{(3)} \frac{(\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_3)^2}{k_2^2 k_3^2} + 2\mathcal{E}^{(3)} \frac{(\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)(\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_3)(\mathbf{k}_3 \cdot \mathbf{k}_1)}{k_1^2 k_2^2 k_3^2} \right) \Biggr\}, \end{split}$$

with $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$, $\mathcal{B}^{(3)}$, $\mathcal{C}^{(3)}$, $\mathcal{D}^{(3)}$ and $\mathcal{E}^{(3)}$ are time and scale- (k_1, k_2, k_3) dependent, and solutions to second order differential equations. These functions become unity in EdS.

Applications: MG-COLA, Winther ++ 1703.00879

I.C. for N-Body simulations with massive neutrinos, Elbers++ 2202.00670

Correlation function multipoles



A. A & A. Banerjee 2007.06508

3. From Lagrangian to Eulerian perturbation theory

with Arka Banerjee et al [2012.05077], [2106.13771]

LPT: Lagrangian displacements

$$\Psi_i^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \frac{i}{n!} \int \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{d^3 k_i}{(2\pi)^3}\right) \delta_{\mathsf{D}}(\boldsymbol{k} - \sum_{a=1}^n \boldsymbol{k}_a) L_i^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{k}_n) \delta_{cb}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1) \cdots \delta^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{k}_n)$$

SPT: density and velocity fluctuations

$$\delta^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \int \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{d^{3}k_{i}}{(2\pi)^{3}}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{D}}(\boldsymbol{k} - \sum_{a=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{k}_{a}) F_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{k}_{n}) \delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1}) \cdots \delta^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{k}_{n})$$
$$\theta^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \int \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{d^{3}k_{i}}{(2\pi)^{3}}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{D}}(\boldsymbol{k} - \sum_{a=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{k}_{a}) G_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{k}_{n}) \delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1}) \cdots \delta^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{k}_{n})$$

From LPT to SPT kernels [1809.07713, 2012.05077] $L^{(n)} \longrightarrow F_n, G_n$

Density field

$$L^{(1)}, L^{(2)} \longrightarrow F_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = \frac{1}{2} \left(k_i L_i^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) + k_i k_j L_i^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_1) L_j^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_2) \right)$$



$$\begin{split} & \left. P_{\rm loop}(k \to 0) \right|_{K_{\rm FL}^{(2)} = 0} \sim \frac{9}{98} \int\limits_{p \gg k} \frac{dp}{4\pi^2} p^2 P_L^2(p) \left[\mathcal{A}(-p,p) \right|_{\rm No \; FL} - \mathcal{B}(-p,p) \right]^2, \\ & \left. P_{\rm loop}(k \to 0) \right|_{K_{\rm FL}^{(3)} = 0} \propto P_L(k) \int\limits_{p \gg k} \frac{dp}{4\pi^2} p^2 P_L(p), \end{split}$$

Velocity field

$$\theta(\boldsymbol{x},t) \equiv -\frac{1}{aHf_0} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x},t),$$

with f_0 the growth rate at a very large scales ($k \ll k_{\rm FS}$).

That is,

$$f(k,t) = \frac{d \ln D_+(k,t)}{d \ln a(t)}, \qquad f_0(t) = f(k \to 0,t).$$

At linear order we obtain

$$heta^{(1)}(m{k}) = rac{f(k)}{f_0} \delta^{(1)}(m{k}).$$

The dipole in the second order density kernel F_2 arises from expanding $\delta(x + \Psi)$ to lowest order:

$$\delta^{(2)}(\bm{x}) \, \ni \, \delta^{(1)}(\bm{x} + \Psi) - \delta^{(1)}(\bm{x}) = \Psi_i^{(1)} \partial_i \delta^{(1)}(\bm{x}) = \partial_i \big[\nabla^{-2} \delta^{(1)}(\bm{x}) \big] \partial_i \delta^{(1)}(\bm{x})$$

Moving to Fourier space:

$$\frac{\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2}{2} \left(\frac{k_2}{k_1} + \frac{k_1}{k_2} \right) \in F_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)$$

Similarly, for the velocity field,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{x}) \ \ni \ \theta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{x} + \Psi) - \theta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \partial_i \left[\nabla^{-2} \delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{x}) \right] \partial_i \theta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{x}) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\theta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \frac{f(\boldsymbol{k})}{f_0} \delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}),$
$$\frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{k}}_1 \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{k}}_2}{2} \left(\frac{f(k_2)}{f_0} \frac{k_2}{k_1} + \frac{f(k_1)}{f_0} \frac{k_1}{k_2} \right) \in G_2(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2). \end{aligned}$$



Full Model [Scoccimarro 2004; Vlah & White 2018; & 2012.05077]

$$P_{s}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \int d^{3}x \, e^{-i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}} \Big\langle \Big(1+\delta(\boldsymbol{x}_{1})\Big) \Big(1+\delta(\boldsymbol{x}_{2})\Big) e^{-i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\Delta\boldsymbol{v}_{\text{LoS}}} \Big\rangle = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^{m}}{m!} (k\mu)^{m} \tilde{\Xi}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{n}}}^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{k})$$

- + Biasing scheme
- + EFT counterterms
- + Stochastic Noise
- + IR-Resummations



The power spectrum can be recast as

$$P(k,\mu) = \left[1 + (\alpha_0 + \alpha_2 \mu^2)k^2\right] P_{\text{Kaiser}}(k,\mu) + (\alpha_0^{\text{sn}} + \alpha_2^{\text{sn}}k^2\mu^2 + \cdots) + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{m} \mu^{2n} f_0^m I_{nm}(k)$$

with the functions $I_{nm}(k)$ of the form

$$I_{mn}(k) = \int d\boldsymbol{p} \, \mathcal{I}_{mn}(\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{p})$$

Performing these loop calculations is computationally slow, since at each volume element of the integration we need to solve a system of differential equations for second and third order growth functions to find the values of $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}(k, p)$ and their third order counterparts for constructing $\mathcal{I}_{mn}(k, p)$. The slowness of this procedure precludes the use of efficient parameter sampling algorithms for estimation of cosmological parameters.

4. fk kernels and FFTLog method

with A. Banerjee ++ [2106.13771] and H. Noriega ++ [2208.02791] However, the dominant contributions to the loop corrections come from the growth rates instead of the computationally costly A, B... functions, which mainly play a normalization role, and their effect is similar to how they affect the Λ CDM kernels, as oppose to the use of EdS kernels. Therefore, one may keep only the growth function contributions.

We define the fk kernels as

$$\begin{split} F_2^{\mathrm{fk}}(\boldsymbol{k}_1,\boldsymbol{k}_2) &= F_2(\boldsymbol{k}_1,\boldsymbol{k}_2) \Big|_{\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{A}^{\Lambda\mathrm{CDM}}}, \\ G_2^{\mathrm{fk}}(\boldsymbol{k}_1,\boldsymbol{k}_2) &= G_2(\boldsymbol{k}_1,\boldsymbol{k}_2) \Big|_{\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{A}^{\Lambda\mathrm{CDM}}}, \end{split}$$

and similar for n > 2.

For example, one of the $I_{mn}(k)$ functions is

$$P_{\theta\theta}^{22}(k) = 2 \int_{\boldsymbol{p}} [G_2(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{p})]^2 P_L(\boldsymbol{p}) P_L(\boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{p}),$$

with
$$G_{2}(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2}) = \frac{3\left[f(k_{1})+f(k_{2})\right]\mathcal{A}+3\dot{\mathcal{A}}/H}{14f_{0}} + \frac{\dot{\mathbf{k}}_{1}\cdot\dot{\mathbf{k}}_{2}}{2}\left(\frac{f(k_{2})}{f_{0}}\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}} + \frac{f(k_{1})}{f_{0}}\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}\right) + \left(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{1}\cdot\dot{\mathbf{k}}_{2}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{f(k_{1})+f(k_{2})}{2f_{0}} - \frac{3\left[f(k_{1})+f(k_{2})\right]\mathcal{B}+3\dot{\mathcal{B}}/H}{14f_{0}}\right)$$

• fk-kernels:

Replace $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, t) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\text{LS}}(t) = \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k}_1 \rightarrow 0, \mathbf{k}_2 \rightarrow 0, t) \simeq 1.01$ (and the same for \mathcal{B}).

$$P_{\theta\theta}^{22}(k) = 2 \int_{\boldsymbol{p}} \left[G_2(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{p}) \right]^2 P_L(p) P_L(|\boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{p}|) \\ = 2 \int_{\boldsymbol{p}} K_{22}^I \frac{f(p)}{f_0} P_L(p) \frac{f(|\boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{p}|)}{f_0} P_L(|\boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{p}|) + 4 \int_{\boldsymbol{p}} K_{22}^{II} \frac{f^2(p)}{f_0^2} P_L(p) P_L(|\boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{p}|)$$

with
$$K_{22}^{I,II} \equiv \sum_{n_1,n_2=-2}^{2} A_{n_1n_2}^{I,II} k^{-2(n_1+n_2)} p^{2n_1} |\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}|^{2n_2}$$

• FFTLog: We can decompose the linear spectra in series of power laws: e.g. $\frac{f(k)}{f_0}P_L(k) = \sum_m c_m^f k^{i\nu_m}$, and convert the loop integral to a matrix multiplication (as in Simonovic++ 2017)

$$P_{\theta\theta}^{22}(k) = \sum_{m,n} k^{-i\nu_m} c_m^f M_{mn}^I c_n^f k^{-i\nu_n} + \sum_{m,n} k^{-i\nu_m} c_m^{ff} M_{mn}^{II} c_n k^{-i\nu_n},$$

where the coefficients c_m are computed via FFT.

Folps



github.com/henoriega/FOLPS-nu



time
$$\approx 0.2 \,\mathrm{s} \, (N_{\mathrm{FFTLog}} = 128)$$



H. Noriega ++ 2208.02791

5. Constraints from BOSS and eBOSS data The case of modified gravity

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G a^2 \mu(k, a) \sum_i \rho_i \Delta_i, \qquad \nabla^2 (\Phi + \Psi) = 4\pi G a^2 \Sigma(k, a) \sum_i \rho_i \Delta_i$$

e.g. Hu-Sawicky f(R) gravity

$$\mu(k,a) = 1 + \frac{1}{3} \frac{k^2}{k^2 + a^2 m^2(a)}, \qquad m^2(a) = \frac{H_0^2(\Omega_m a^{-3} + 4\Omega_\Lambda)^3}{2|\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{R0}}|(\Omega_m + 4\Omega_\Lambda)^2}$$



DR12 BOSS LRGs and eBOSS QSO



6. Wiggles vs broadband

- In theories with additional scales, e.g. MG or M_{ν} , one expects to have a change on the broadband power spectrum at some k.
 - - For example, in MG this is an enhancement, while in M_{ν} this is a suppression.
- The claim is that one can attempt to measure the suppression (or enhancement) in the broadband, and in that way constrain the mass of the neutrinos or MG models.
- That is, if I split the information of the power spectrum in wiggles + Broadband + RSD, one expect to obtain the information of massive neutrinos from the BB. I no longer believe this is the case.

Take a look at this figure on which there is a MG parameter f_{R0} whose effect is to enhance the power spectrum above a scale $k \propto |f_{R0}^{-1}|$:





The effects of massive neutrinos in the wiggles is mainly a modulation in the relative amplitude of the wiggles, with only a very small shift in their frequency (if $\Omega_{b,cdm}$ fixed). More damping for bigger neutrino masses.



We decomposed the two linear power spectra into broadband + wiggles and mixed the pieces to get four models. Then, we evolve them non linearly and generate model generated synthetic data with Patchy mock covariance, and construct four data sets:

- BB00W00 Broadband of the model with mass $M_{\nu} = 0.0$ eV, wiggles of the model with mass $M_{\nu} = 0.0$ eV
- BB00W05 Broadband of the model with mass $M_{\nu}=0.0$ eV, wiggles of the model with mass $M_{\nu}=0.5$ eV
- BB05W00 Broadband of the model with mass $M_{\nu}=0.5$ eV, wiggles of the model with mass $M_{\nu}=0.0$ eV
- + BB05W05 Broadband of the model with mass $M_{\nu}=0.5$ eV, wiggles of the model with mass $M_{\nu}=0.0$ eV



It seems that a PT/EFT analysis extracts the information of the neutrino mass from the wiggles, while it is blind to the broadband suppression.

Thank you!