# Taking Inventory of the Universe:
The Mystery of Dark Matter

Stanley Seibert
University of Pennsylvania
LBNL, February 20, 2013

Today's topic:
A gravitational mystery...

Abell 1703

## ...brought to you by precision astronomy

Seven Decades of "Excess Gravitation"


Rotation Curves

Gravitational Lensing

Cluster Collisions

CMB Power Spectrum

And many others!

Baryon Acoustic Oscillations

Simulations of Structure Formation

Responses to the Unexpected

1. "Observational" error.

   "Precision astronomy is hard. Maybe you made a mistake."

2. Interpretation or modeling error.

   "Are you really sure you know where all the baryons are?"
   (Black holes, neutron stars, brown dwarfs, etc.)

3. New or modified interaction between matter.
   Modified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND), TeVeS

4. New material constituent. (usually a new particle)
   Neutralinos, axions, Kaluza-Klein states, ...

   Pick any combination of all 4!

The Dark Matter Hypothesis

A substantial fraction of the matter in the universe is in a form that rarely (or never) interacts with photons, rendering it invisible ("dark") to direct electromagnetic observation.

Neutrinos 10 %
Photons 15 %
Atoms 12%
Dark Matter 63%
13.7 BILLION YEARS AGO
(Universe 380,000 years old)

Atoms 4.6%
Dark Matter 23%
Dark Energy 72%
TODAY

But, isn't proposing a new form of matter based purely on astronomical evidence preposterous?

We've Been Here Before...

D_1 D_2 D_3


E. Frankland   N. Lockyer

W. Ramsay

Helium was first discovered by astronomers in the solar chromosphere in 1868, but not by chemists in the lab until 1895!

What is Dark Matter?

Suppose you decide to search for "terrestrial" dark matter. What do you know?

If you explain the astronomy data with dark matter, then you know:

- Cross-sections for interaction between dark matter and itself/other particles are very small.
  (or you would have seen it already)

- Local density near Earth is around 0.3 GeV/cm^3 (within a factor of 2 or 3)

- Solar system moves through dark matter halo at 220 km/sec

Direct Dark Matter Searches

("looking for your lost keys under the street light")

1. Anomalous nuclear recoils (WIMP scattering)

   XENON, CDMS, CoGeNT, DEAP/CLEAN, LUX, PICASSO, COUPP, CRESST, XMASS, EDELWEISS, ...

2. Primakoff interactions (axion-photon coupling)

   ADMX, CAST, ...

3. Periodicity/Directionality

   DAMA/LIBRA, DRIFT, DMTPC, ...

   (the 21st century search for the "aether wind")

4. [Insert your clever idea here]

Why WIMPs?

A new particle with mass near the EW symmetry-breaking scale and weak force gauge couplings produces the right thermal relic density.

Hunting for WIMPs

Want:

Low energy threshold

Large, "cheap," and clean target material

Excellent separation of nuclear recoils (induced by WIMPs) from other backgrounds

Background Discrimination

COUPP, PICASSO

Heat/Phonons

CRESST, ROSEBUD

CDMS, EDELWEISS

Scintillation

Ionization

DEAP/CLEAN,
XMASS,
DAMA/LIBRA

XENON, LUX, WARP,
ArDM

DRIFT, DMTPC,
CoGeNT

Experimental Results:
How are we doing so far?

In the Thick of It

Summary of the data so far:

It took astronomers many decades to sort out evidence for dark matter.

Particle physicists are still very early in the process, and there is plenty of room for other approaches....

Can we look to past successes for inspiration?

Lessons From Neutrino Experiments

Sudbury Neutrino Observatory

Low energy neutrino detection demands large, clean, and deep underground experiments, *much like dark matter.*

Scaling requires a detector that can be composed from "simple" repeatable structures.

Percent-level calibration is possible, especially when you start with a well-modeled detector.

Careful modeling of backgrounds allows for low threshold signal extraction.

Ex: SNO Radon Spike

Legend:
- Sum
- ^{214}Bi electrons
- ^{214}Bi neutrons
- "Quiet" data
- Rn spike data

In situ, distributed calibration sources are a powerful systematic constraint

Events / (0.216667)

Kinetic Energy (MeV)

Best fit convolution of Monte Carlo by ~0.1 MeV

MiniCLEAN:
Searching for Dark Matter with Argon and Neon Scintillation

Scintillation in Noble Liquids

Energy deposition in noble liquids produces short lived excited diatomic molecules in singlet and triplet states.

Pulse Shape Analysis

	Singlet	Triplet
He	~10ns	13 s
Ne	<18.2 ns	14.9 μs
Ar	7 ns	1.60 μs
Xe	4.3 ns	22 ns

Electronic recoil

Nuclear Recoil

Triplet state highly suppressed!

Rejecting Electron-like Events in Argon

Discriminate with ratio of prompt to total light

Reject beta and gamma backgrounds with less than 10^{-8} leakage

Important to reject intrinsic ^{39}Ar background

Observing Extreme UV

NIM A 654 (2011), 116-121
V. Gehman, SRS, K. Rielage, A. Hime, Y. Sun, D.-M. Mei

Almost everything absorbs 128 nm light!
TPB can wavelength shift EUV up to 440 nm with high efficiency.

TPB Re-emission Efficiency

NIM A 654 (2011), 116-121
V. Gehman, SRS, K. Rielage, A. Hime, Y. Sun, D.-M. Mei

10% measurement resolves factor of 3
ambiguity in the literature

→ Disentangles TPB and argon scintillation
efficiency, useful for other noble liquids

TPB Re-emission Spectrum

Discovered that re-emission spectrum independent of illumination wavelength and has cutoff near 400 nm.
→ Direct impact on choice of optical materials

Single Phase Ar/Ne Detectors

Advantages:

- Target material is very inexpensive.
- No need for electric fields to drift charge.
    - Simpler detector design
    - Able to use a spherical geometry (but not required)
    - Does not require ^{39}Ar-depleted argon for large detectors
- Neon is clean enough to use for pp solar neutrinos

Disadvantages:

- Lower A^2 reduces coherent scattering enhancement
- Self-shielding from external backgrounds worse than other materials
- Atmospheric argon contains a high rate beta decay isotope, ^{39}Ar @1 Bq/kg *(also a fantastic calibration!)*

The DEAP and CLEAN Family of Detectors

DEAP-0:

Initial R&D detector

DEAP-1:

7 kg LAr
2 warm PMTs
At SNOLab since 2008

10^{-44} cm^2

10^{-45} cm^2

10^{-46} cm^2

WIMP σ Sensitivity

DEAP-3600:

3600 kg LAr (1000 kg fiducial mass)
266 warm PMTs
SNOLAB 2014

picoCLEAN:

Initial R&D detector

microCLEAN:

4 kg LAr or LNe
2 cold PMTs
surface tests at Yale

MiniCLEAN:

500 kg LAr or LNe (150 kg fiducial mass)
92 cold PMTs
SNOLAB 2013

40-140 tonne LNe/LAr Detector:

pp-solar ν, supernova ν, dark matter $<10^{-46}$ cm^2
~2018?

MiniCLEAN Collaboration

Boston University

D. Gastler, E. Kearns, S. Linden

UC Berkeley

G.D. Orebi Gann

Los Alamos National Laboratory

M. Akashi-Ronquest, K. Bingham, R. Bourque, J. Griego,
A. Hime, F. Lopez, J. Oertel, K. Rielage, L. Rodriguez

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

J.A. Formaggio, S. Jaditz, J. Kelsey, K. Palladino

National Institute Standards and Technology

K. Coakley

University of New Mexico

M. Bodmer, F. Giuliani, M. Gold, D. Loomba, J. Matthews, P. Palni,
J. Wang

University of North Carolina/TUNL

R. Henning

University of Pennsylvania

T. Caldwell, J.R. Klein, A. Mastbaum,
S. Seibert

Royal Holloway University of London

A. Butcher, J. Monroe, J.A. Nikkel, J. Walding

University of South Dakota

V. Guiseppe, D.-M. Mei, G. Perumpilly, C. Zhang

Syracuse University

R.W. Schnee, B. Wang

Yale University

D.N. McKinsey

The MiniCLEAN Detector

Outer Vessel

92 8" PMTs

TPB @ R=43 cm

PMTs @ R=81 cm

LAr/ LNe

Inner Vessel

PMT

Modular "Cassettes"

Test fit of 1 light guide in inner vessel at fabricator

Simplified View

UV fluor (TPB)

Liquid Ar/Ne Shielding

Liquid Ar/Ne Target

Acrylic

PMTs

Water Shielding

Deck

Outer Vessel

Water Shield Tank

Inner Vessel

Veto PMTs

Courtesy J. Griego

SNOLAB

Surface Facility

Underground Laboratory

2 km of rock
(6000 mwe)

Sudbury, Ontario, Canada

Lab Area

Insert
MiniCLEAN
here

Inner Vessel

Manufacturing completed, pressure and leak tested in Sept. 2012

Inner Vessel Underground


January 2013

MiniCLEAN WIMP Analysis

Will perform a maximum likelihood analysis with a blind signal box in three reconstructed observables:

WIMP Sensitivity

MiniCLEAN w/ 150 kg fiducial volume
80 = 80 keVr threshold
50 = 50 keVr threshold
30 = 30 keVr threshold

Small change in energy threshold equivalent to large change in fiducial volume!

# Lowering the Energy Threshold

- Rejection of ^{39}Ar beta decay events sets the energy threshold in single-phase argon.

- ^{39}Ar is the only background that scales like volume, rather than surface area, so it also limits detector size.

- Important to maximize the performance of our pulse-shape rejection algorithms.

- Prior to construction, we have done this with a detailed simulation…

MiniCLEAN Simulation: RAT

- Developed for Braidwood (SRS), now used by SNO+ and DEAP/CLEAN.

- Wraps together GEANT4, ROOT, and GLG4sim (KamLAND) into full simulation and analysis package.

- Fully propagates optical photons, including PMT response and digitizer / DAQ simulation

- Includes pulse shape analysis tools, maximum likelihood position reconstruction

Comparison with MicroCLEAN

After setting global detection efficiency in Monte Carlo

^{57}Co source

microCLEAN data
RAT Monte Carlo

Modeling of Cryogenic PMT Response

MiniCLEAN uses Hamamatsu R5912-02-MOD 8"
PMTs, tested for use at temperatures down to 25K.

T. Caldwell

Photoelectron (PE) Counting

- Counting the number of PE on a channel is fundamental to energy and position estimation.

- PMTs produce variable size, finite width (~20ns) pulses for each PE.

- Counting peaks in a waveform is biased low when there is pulse pileup.

- Integrating charge is unbiased, but higher variance.

- How to get best of both worlds?

- (Side note: This is one of many instances where single phase detectors get better as they get bigger and add channels...)

Bayesian PE Counting

Bayesian PE Counting

Chop up waveform for each PMT into regions with pulses, and for each region, compute:

n-PE charge distribution

$$P_N(n|q, t_1, t_2) = \frac{P_Q(q \mid n) P_N(n \mid t_1, t_2)}{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} P_Q(q \mid i) P_N(i \mid t_1, t_2)}$$

Probability of *n*-PE given pulse integral *q*, and pulse ranging from t_1 to t_2

Poisson probability of observing *n* (or *i*) photons between t_1 and t_2 *in this PMT.*

This is where we insert our knowledge of argon scintillation time structure and event position & energy

Position & Energy Reconstruction

No photon can travel directly from the event vertex to a PMT!

- Rayleigh scattering length in argon is ~90 cm (or 66 cm?).

- TPB further randomizes photon paths.

- Estimating PMT hit probability based simply on solid angle tends to bias the fit inward.

- The actual light pattern is more isotropic than a direct propagation model would predict.

ShellFit: Self-Tuning Maximum Likelihood Fitting

- Automatically use Monte Carlo simulation to collapse complete space of photon histories to before and after wavelength shifting.

- Integrate over TPB surface using a GPU to compute likelihood.

- 4 sec/event w/ CPU and 0.2 sec/event w/ GPU!


UV transmission to TPB

Visible

# Energy resolution after iterating PE counting

MiniCLEAN simulation, ^{39}Ar, 75-100 PE, inside fiducial volume

Particle ID Techniques

Prompt ratio (standard)


Likelihood ratio (new)

MiniCLEAN simulation

The Future

- The "Generation 2" concept for single phase liquid argon and neon is the CLEAN experiment.

MiniCLEAN
(~500 kg total)

CLEAN-40
(44 tons total)

CLEAN-140
(140 tons total)

The Future

Simpler PMT modules

(Front TPB plate removed)

The Future

- Between 40 and 140 tons total argon mass (≥15 tons fiducial).

- Optimal size with natural argon limited by pulse-shape discrimination performance and pileup.

- At ~140 tons (total), a dual-use argon and neon detector can do a percent-level precision measurement of pp solar neutrinos and observe supernova neutrinos.

- With depleted argon, could go very large...

Conclusion

- *Something is out there,* and it might be WIMPs!

- We've seen claims of direct detection, but you should continue to be skeptical.

- Single phase noble liquid detectors offer a highly scalable option for dark matter and neutrino detection.

- MiniCLEAN extends the DEAP/CLEAN series of detectors to 150 kg fiducial volume with liquid argon and neon.

- Many analysis improvements ready to test on data as it arrives.

- Construction is underway, with detector commissioning scheduled for mid-2013.

"I often look at the bright yellow ray emitted from the chromosphere of the sun, by that unknown element, Helium, as the astronomers have ventured to call it. It seems trembling with excitement to tell its story, and how many unseen companions it has. And if this be the case with the sun, what shall we say of the magnificent hosts of the stars? May not every one of them have special elements of their own? Is not each a chemical laboratory in itself?"

John William Draper
Inaugural Address to the American Chemical Society
1876