A bias to CMB lensing measurements from the bispectrum of large-scale structure



Vanessa Böhm

Max Planck Institut für Astrophysik Garching

VB, Marcel Schmittfull, Blake Sherwin (*Phys. Rev. D 94, 043519; arXiv:1605.01392*)



CMB lensing potential

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = -2 \int_0^{\chi_*} \mathrm{d}\chi \, W(\chi) \, \psi(\mathbf{x}, \chi)$$

$$W(\chi) = \frac{f_k(\chi_* - \chi)}{f_k(\chi_*)f_k(\chi)}$$

Power spectrum

 $C_L^{\phi\phi} \leftarrow P_{\delta}(L/\chi;\chi)$









Lensing Potential



Lensing Potential





Quadratic estimator (Hu 2001)

$$\hat{\phi}(\mathbf{L}) = A_L \int_{\mathbf{l}} g(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{L}) \tilde{T}_{\text{expt}}(\mathbf{l}) \tilde{T}_{\text{expt}}^*(\mathbf{l} - \mathbf{L})$$

Power spectrum estimate ← mean square of the estimator

$$C^{\hat{\phi}\hat{\phi}}(\mathbf{L}) \leftarrow \langle \hat{\phi}(\mathbf{L})\hat{\phi^*}(\mathbf{L}') \rangle_{(\phi,T)}$$

 $\langle \hat{\phi}(\mathbf{L}) \hat{\phi^*}(\mathbf{L}') \rangle_{(\phi,T)} \propto \langle \tilde{T}_{expt}(\mathbf{l}_1) \tilde{T}_{expt}(\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{l}_1) \tilde{T}_{expt}(-\mathbf{l}_2) \tilde{T}_{expt}(\mathbf{l}_2 - \mathbf{L}') \rangle$

Status of CMB lensing



Rapid improvement in precision

Ground-based experiments



Increasing precision requires increasingly accurate theoretical modeling

Quadratic estimator (Hu 2001)

$$\hat{\phi}(\mathbf{L}) = A_L \int_{\mathbf{l}} g(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{L}) \tilde{T}_{\text{expt}}(\mathbf{l}) \tilde{T}_{\text{expt}}^*(\mathbf{l} - \mathbf{L})$$

Power spectrum estimate ← mean square of the estimator

$$C^{\hat{\phi}\hat{\phi}}(\mathbf{L}) \leftarrow \langle \hat{\phi}(\mathbf{L})\hat{\phi^*}(\mathbf{L}') \rangle_{(\phi,T)}$$

 $\langle \hat{\phi}(\mathbf{L}) \hat{\phi^*}(\mathbf{L'}) \rangle_{(\phi,T)} \propto \langle \tilde{T}_{\text{expt}}(\mathbf{l}_1) \tilde{T}_{\text{expt}}(\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{l}_1) \tilde{T}_{\text{expt}}(-\mathbf{l}_2) \tilde{T}_{\text{expt}}(\mathbf{l}_2 - \mathbf{L'}) \rangle$

Known biases

Lensed temperature perturbation series

$$\tilde{T}(\mathbf{l}) = T(\mathbf{l}) + \delta T(\mathbf{l}) + \delta^2 T(\mathbf{l}) + \mathcal{O}(\phi^3)$$

$$\left\langle \tilde{T}\tilde{T}\tilde{T}'\tilde{T}' \right\rangle = \left\langle TTT'T' \right\rangle + \left\langle \delta T\delta TT'T' \right\rangle + \left\langle \delta^2 TTT'T' \right\rangle + \dots + O(\phi^4)$$

$$N^{(0)} \qquad C_L^{\phi\phi}, N^{(1)} \qquad N^{(0)}$$

Different couplings give rise to different bias terms

$$\langle \hat{C}_L^{\hat{\phi}\hat{\phi}} \rangle = N_L^{(0)} + C_L^{\phi\phi} + N_L^{(1)} \qquad (\text{Gaussian } \phi)$$

Kesden et al. 2003, Hanson et al. 2011, Lewis et al. 2011

Known biases



Lensing potential validity of Gaussian approximation





- 1-point PDF, peak count distribution \rightarrow adds skewness Liu, Hill, Sherwin, Petri, VB, Haiman 2016
- Non-negligible bispectrum VB, Schmittfull, Sherwin 2016, Sherwin,VB, Liu, Hill in prep.

Lensing potential bispectrum

- Lensing potential is sourced by large-scale matter distribution
- Non-linear structure formation → matter distribution becomes non-Gaussian and acquires bispectrum

$$B_{\phi}(\mathbf{l}_1,\mathbf{l}_2,\mathbf{l}_3) \leftarrow B_{\delta}(l_1/\chi,l_2/\chi,l_3/\chi)$$

Lensing potential bispectrum

- Lensing potential is sourced by large-scale matter distribution
- Non-linear structure formation → matter distribution becomes non-Gaussian and acquires bispectrum

$$B_{\phi}(\mathbf{l}_1,\mathbf{l}_2,\mathbf{l}_3) \leftarrow B_{\delta}(l_1/\chi,l_2/\chi,l_3/\chi)$$

- Does the lensing potential become non-Gaussian, too? Many lenses along LOS should 'gaussianize' the lensing potential...
- Namikawa 2016: bispectrum as signal \rightarrow significant detection with a Stage-IV experiment



VB, Schmittfull, Sherwin 2016

















Lensing Bispectrum Upgrades Semi-analytic Fit

So far

Eulerian perturbation theory at leading-order (tree-level)

 $B_{\delta}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3; \eta) = 2 F_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) P_{\delta}(k_1, \eta) P_{\delta}(k_2, \eta) + (1 \leftrightarrow 3) + (2 \leftrightarrow 3)$

Figure: Namikawa 2016



Lensing Bispectrum Upgrades Post-Born Corrections

Update

Post-Born corrections \rightarrow non-negligible contributions to Bispectrum Pratten&Lewis 2016



Results Updated TT,TT Bias/Signal



Results Updated TT,TT Bias/Noise



test against ray-traced lensing simulations

- Evaluation of bias is numerically involved
- Neglected, tightly coupled, terms might not be negligible
- Possibly non-negligible higher order contributions
- Possible shortcomings of bispectrum model

independent test with simulations VB/Sherwin (Jia Liu, Colin Hill, Marcel Schmittfull, Andrea Petri)

test against ray-traced lensing simulations

- 10 000 fully non-linear convergence fields

 ← ray-tracing through an N-body simulation
 many lens planes → include post-Born corrections
- **10 000 Gaussian** realizations of the convergence with same (measured) power spectrum as N-body result
- Lens same background CMB with Gaussian and Non-Gaussian simulations and add same noise maps
 → cancels cosmic variance
- Reconstruct lensing power spectrum from lensed maps
- Compare the residuals of the reconstructions

test against ray-traced lensing simulations



VB, Sherwin, Liu, Hill in prep. ³⁵

test against ray-traced lensing simulations



test against ray-traced lensing simulations



VB, Sherwin, Liu, Hill in prep. ³⁷

test against ray-traced lensing simulations



VB, Sherwin, Liu, Hill in prep. ³⁸

Non-Gaussianity in 1-Point PDF

Liu, Hill, Sherwin, Petri, VB, Haiman 2016



Non-Gaussianity in 1-Point PDF



Conclusions

- The CMB lensing potential is non-Gaussian
 - ← non-Gaussianity of the large-scale structure
 - ← correlated deflections (post-Born corrections)
- The **bispectrum** of the lensing potential induces a **bias** to measurements of the lensing power spectrum
- For temperature-based reconstruction the bias from LSS alone is of order ~3σ for a Stage-III experiment
- Post-Born corrections seem to reduce its magnitude to <1σ for single bandpower → but cumulative effect matters!
- Its exact magnitude still needs to be confirmed with simulations but preliminary results look promising

Outlook

Evaluation of the bias for polarization-based reconstruction

(probably reduced for EB-EB but possibly similar for EE-EE)

- Evaluation of **bias in cross-correlation** measurements with other tracers of large-scale structure
- Higher-order statistics are now becoming detectable (PDF, peak counts, bispectrum)

 \rightarrow need to characterize biases/noise in measurements of these statistics

Outlook

Evaluation of the bias for polarization-based reconstruction

As measurement precision of CMB lensing increases we need a more and more refined theoretical modeling

- → lots of work still ahead to make full use of the upcoming data
- T DT, peak counts, bispectrum,

 \rightarrow need to characterize biases/noise in measurements of these statistics

Backups

- CMB Lensing Theory
- CMB Lensing Reconstruction
- Lensing potential bispectrum

CMB lensing potential

$$C_L^{\phi\phi} = \int_0^{\chi_*} \mathrm{d}\chi \frac{W(\chi)^2}{\chi^2} \frac{\gamma(\chi)^2}{(L/\chi)^4} P_\delta(L/\chi;\chi) \qquad \gamma(\chi) \equiv \frac{3}{2} \frac{H_0^2 \,\Omega_{\mathrm{m}0}}{c^2 a(\chi)}$$

CMB lensing parameter constraints



CMB lensing parameter constraints



47

Planck constraints on sum of neutrino masses

$$\sum m_{\nu} < 0.72 \text{ eV} \quad Planck \text{TT+lowP}; \quad (54a)$$

$$\sum m_{\nu} < 0.21 \text{ eV} \quad Planck \text{TT+lowP+BAO}; \quad (54b)$$

$$\sum m_{\nu} < 0.49 \text{ eV} \quad Planck \text{TT}, \text{TE}, \text{EE+lowP}; \quad (54c)$$

$$\sum m_{\nu} < 0.17 \text{ eV} \quad Planck \text{TT}, \text{TE}, \text{EE+lowP+BAO}. \quad (54d)$$

Planck XIII 2015

Convergence Power Spectrum scale and redshift dependence



Quadratic estimator (Hu & Okamoto 2002)

$$\hat{\phi}(\mathbf{L}) = A_L \int_{\mathbf{l}} g(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{L}) \tilde{T}_{\text{expt}}(\mathbf{l}) \tilde{T}_{\text{expt}}^*(\mathbf{l} - \mathbf{L})$$

Power spectrum estimate ← mean square estimator

$$\begin{split} \langle \hat{\phi}(\mathbf{L}) \hat{\phi^*}(\mathbf{L}') \rangle_{(\phi,T)} = & A_L^2 \int_{\mathbf{l}_1} \int_{\mathbf{l}_2} g(\mathbf{l}_1, \mathbf{L}) \, g(\mathbf{l}_2, \mathbf{L}') \\ & \left\langle \tilde{T}_{\text{expt}}(\mathbf{l}_1) \, \tilde{T}_{\text{expt}}(\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{l}_1) \, \tilde{T}_{\text{expt}}(-\mathbf{l}_2) \, \tilde{T}_{\text{expt}}(\mathbf{l}_2 - \mathbf{L}') \, \right\rangle \end{split}$$

Quadratic estimator

Weight

$$g(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{L}) = \frac{(\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{l}) \cdot \mathbf{L} C_{|\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{l}|}^{\tilde{T}\tilde{T}} + \mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{L} C_{l}^{\tilde{T}\tilde{T}}}{2C_{l, \text{expt}}^{\tilde{T}} C_{|\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{l}|, \text{expt}}^{\tilde{T}\tilde{T}}}$$

Normalization

$$A_L^{-1} = 2 \int_{\mathbf{l}} g(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{L}) \mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{L} C_l^{\tilde{T}\tilde{T}}$$

Gaussian variance

$$\sigma^2(L) = \frac{1}{f_{\rm sky}} \frac{2}{(2L+1)} \left(N_L^{(0)} + C_L^{\phi\phi} + N_L^{(1)} \right)^2$$

Representative experiment	Stage-IV(CMB-S4)	Stage-III(AdvancedACT-like)	Planck
$\theta_{\rm FWHM}[{\rm arcmin}]$	1.0	1.4	7.0
$\sigma_N^{TT}[\mu \text{Karcmin}]$	1.0	6.0	30.0
$f_{ m sky}$	0.5	0.4	0.63

Lensing potential bispectrum

Weighted projection of LSS bispectrum

$$B_{\phi}(\mathbf{l}_{1},\mathbf{l}_{2},\mathbf{l}_{3}) = -\int_{0}^{\chi_{*}} \mathrm{d}\chi \,\chi^{2} W(\chi)^{3} \frac{\gamma(\chi)^{3}}{(l_{1}l_{2}l_{3})^{2}} B_{\delta}(l_{1}/\chi,l_{2}/\chi,l_{3}/\chi;\chi)$$

LSS bispectrum in Eulerian perturbation theory at leading order

$$B_{\delta}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3; \eta) = 2 F_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) P_{\delta}(k_1, \eta) P_{\delta}(k_2, \eta) + (1 \leftrightarrow 3) + (2 \leftrightarrow 3)$$

$$F_2(\mathbf{k}_i, \mathbf{k}_j) = F_2(\mathbf{k}_i, \mathbf{k}_j) = \frac{5}{7} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k_i}{k_j} + \frac{k_j}{k_i} \right) \hat{\mathbf{k}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}_j + \frac{2}{7} (\hat{\mathbf{k}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}_j)^2$$

Lensing potential bispectrum

- Contributions to equilateral configuration
- → From different LSS modes → From different redshifts 10^{-11} $z_{\rm max} = 0.1$ 10^{-12} $z_{\rm max} = 1.0$ 1.2 $z_{\rm max} = 0.2$ $z_{\rm max} = 2.0$ 10^{-13} $z_{\rm max} = 0.5$ $z_{\rm max} = 3.0$ 10^{-14} 10^{-15} $L^6B_\phi(L)$ 10^{-16} 10^{-17} 10^{-18} 10^{-19} $1 > k > 10^{-1}$ $k < 10^{-3}$ $10^{-2} > k > 10^{-3}$ 10^{-20} k > 1 10^{-21} $10^{-1} > k > 10^{-2}$ all k 10^{-22} 0.0 10^{2} 10^{1} 10^{3} 100 500 1000 1500 2000 LL

Bispectrum of large-scale structure

- No exact analytical model for LSS bispectrum
 - Standard perturbation theory at leading order (tree-level)



1-Point PDF

Liu, Hill, Sherwin, Petri, VB, Haiman 2016



Bispectrum of large-scale structure

- No exact analytical model for LSS bispectrum
- Eulerian perturbation theory at leading-order (tree-level)

 $B_{\delta}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3; \eta) = 2 F_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) P_{\delta}(k_1, \eta) P_{\delta}(k_2, \eta) + (1 \leftrightarrow 3) + (2 \leftrightarrow 3)$

Lensing potential bispectrum

Contributions to equilateral configuration



VB, Schmittfull, Sherwin 2016

